

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,931	10/31/2003	David C. Nelson	ZIC.5036.36	8945	
7590 05/31/2006			EXAMINER		
Ray L. Weber, Esq.			BUI, LUAN KIM		
Renner, Kenne	r, Greive,				
Bobak, Taylor & Weber			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4th Floor, First National Tower			3728		
Akron, OH 44308-1456			DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Examiner   Luan K. Bui   3728     Standard   3728   Standard									
Examiner   Luan K, Bul   3728			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Luan K. Bui	Office Action Summary		10/697,931	NELSON ET AL.	-				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address − Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensives to time rays be evaluate under the provisore of 37 CFT 1-130(). In no event, however, may a roby be timely fined in the provision of the communication of 37 CFT 1-130(). In no event, however, may a roby be timely fined in the provision of the replace of the specified above, the maximum statutory periods will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely fined, may reduce any sense place them allocations. Send of 27 CFT, 1-76(1), Arg youth, replaced them allocations. Send of 27 CFT, 1-76(1), Arg youth, replaced them allocations. Send of 27 CFT, 1-76(1), Arg youth, replaced them allocations. Send of 27 CFT, 1-76(1), Arg youth, replaced them allocations. Send of 27 CFT, 1-76(1), Arg youth, replaced them allocations. Send of 27 CFT, 1-76(1), Arg youth, replaced them allocations. Send of 27 CFT, 1-76(1), Arg youth, replaced them allocations. Send of 27 CFT, 1-76(1), Arg youth, replaced them allocations. Send of 27 CFT, 1-76(1), Arg youth, replaced them allocations. Send of 27 CFT, 1-76(1), Arg youth, replaced them allocations. Send of 27 CFT, 1-76(1), Arg youth, replaced the provided them allocations. Send of 27 CFT, 1-76(1), Arg youth, replaced the provided them allocations. Send of 27 CFT, 1-76(1), Arg youth, replaced them allocations. Send of 1-76(1), Arg youth, replaced them allocation are decided to a send of 27 CFT, 1-76(1), Arg youth, replaced them allocations. Send of 27 CFT, 1-76(1), Arg youth, replaced them allocations. Send of 27 CFT, 1-76(1), Arg youth, replaced them allocations. Send of 27 CFT, 1-76(1), Arg youth, replaced them allocations. Send of 27 CFT, 1-76(1), Arg youth, replaced them allocations. Send of 27 CFT, 1-76(1), Arg youth, replaced them allocations. Send of			Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - False's Longer of the set of extended period for reply well, by statute, cause the application to become ANANDONED (58 U.S. C. § 135).  - False's to reply whith it set of extended period for reply well, by statute, cause the application to become ANANDONED (58 U.S. C. § 135).  - False's to reply whith it set of extended period for reply well, by statute, cause the application to become ANANDONED (58 U.S. C. § 135).  - False's to reply whith it set of extended period for reply well, by statute, cause the application to become ANANDONED (58 U.S. C. § 135).  - False's to reply whith it set of extended period for reply well, by statute, cause the application to become ANANDONED (58 U.S. C. § 135).  - False's to reply whith it set of extended period for reply well, by statute, cause the application to become ANANDONED (58 U.S. C. § 135).  - False's to reply whith it set of extended period for reply well, by statute, cause the application to become ANANDONED (58 U.S. C. § 130).  - Statuts  - This action is FINAL.  - 2D) This action is indication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quaryle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  - Disposition of Claims  - A) Claim(s) 3-11 is/are pending in the application.  - A) Claim(s) 3 is/are allowed.  - (S) Claim(s) 3 is/are allowed.  - (S) Claim(s) 4-9, 11 is/are rejected.  - (Claim(s) 19 is/are explication for allowed.  - (S) Claim(s) 3 is/are available with a set of the provided period by the Examiner.  - Application Papers					_				
WHICHEVER Is LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Ederacions of time may be available under the provision of 37 cFt 1.18(b), in no event, however, may a reply be timely fied after 50 Kg) MCNT155 from the mailing date of this communication of 37 cFt 1.18(b), in no event, however, may a reply be timely field after 50 Kg) MCNT155 from the mailing date of this communication of the communicat			ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	ss				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 May 2006.  2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This action is non-final.  3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)☑ Claim(s) 3:11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)	WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 3-11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5   Claim(s) 3 is/are allowed.  6   Claim(s) 3-11 is/are rejected.  7   Claim(s) 3-11 is/are objected to.  8   Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to.  8   Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to.  8   Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.65(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All   b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4)   Interview Summary (PTO-413)   Paper No(s)Mail Date  10   Notice of Pratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status								
3	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M	<u>ay 2006</u> .						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
A) Claim(s) 3-11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)	3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 3 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Terafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Information PTO-152)		closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) ② is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 4-9.11 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) ③ is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4-9. 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4)⊠	Claim(s) 3-11 is/are pending in the application.							
o  Claim(s) 4-9.11 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
T) Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5)⊠								
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠	Claim(s) 4-9, 11 is/are rejected.							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colonic in the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicati	on Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	='					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	152.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		,					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_	-	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		<u> </u>							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		<u> </u>							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		·	·	ed in this National Sta	ge				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* 5			od.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		so the attached detailed emice detail for a list	or the definited deplets flot rederive						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachmen	t(s)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
	3) Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)			2)				

### **Continued Prosecution Application**

The Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed on 5/11/2006 under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 10/697,931 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marotta (5,600,889). Marotta discloses a pizza box (40) and lid support (26) in the embodiment of Figure 11 comprising a pizza box (40) retaining a pizza (46) and a lid support (26) placed in the pizza box. The lid supporting comprising a support platform (12) supported above the pizza by at least one support leg (30) and prevented a lid (44) of the pizza box from contacting the pizza, and a handle (14) having an edge extending downwardly and outwardly from the support platform which is considered equivalent to a cutting edge as claimed. The edge of the handle of the lid support of Marotta is inherently capable of being used as the cutting edge.
- 3. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beck et al. (4,877,609; hereinafter Beck'609). Beck'609 discloses a pizza box (10, 12, 14) and lid support (30) comprising a pizza box (14) retaining a pizza (20) and a lid support (30) placed in the pizza

Application/Control Number: 10/697,931

Art Unit: 3728

box. The lid supporting comprising a support platform (40, 42) supported above the pizza by at least one support leg (60) and prevented a lid (12) of the pizza box from contacting the pizza, and the support leg having an edge (along portion 64 in Figure 2) extending downwardly and outwardly from the support platform which is considered equivalent to a cutting edge as claimed. The edge of the support leg of the lid support of Beck'609 is inherently capable of being used as the cutting edge.

Page 3

- 4. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Montesi (3,877,143). Montesi discloses a implement (10) comprising a support platform (14), at least one leg (12, 16) supporting the support platform above a support surface and a cutting edge (28, 30, 40, 42) extending downwardly and outwardly from the support platform toward the support surface (Figures 1-3). The implement of Montesi is inherently capable of being as a lid support. As to claim 5, the support platform (14) includes a grasping member such that the support platform serves as a handle to use the cutting edge as a cutting implement.
- 5. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fulton (1,612,367). Fulton discloses a implement (Figure 1) comprising a support platform (16, 17), at least one leg (the middle leg 15) supporting the support platform above a support surface and a cutting edge (an edge of the two outer legs 15) extending downwardly and outwardly from the support platform toward the support surface (Figure 1). The implement of Fulton is inherently capable of being as a lid support. As to claim 5, the support platform (16, 17) includes a

Art Unit: 3728

grasping member (17) such that the support platform serves as a handle to use the cutting edge as a cutting implement.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Montesi (3,877,143) in view of Steck et al. (6,694,619; hereinafter Steck'619). Montesi discloses the implement (10) as above having all the limitations as claim except for the grasping member being a hole in the support platform. Steck'619 teaches a cutting implement comprising a cutting edge (1) and a grasping member is a hole (2, Figure 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Steck'619 to modify the implement of Montesi so the grasping member comprises a hole to facilitate grasping during using the implement.
- 8. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fulton (1,612,367) in view of Montesi (3,877,143). Fulton discloses the implement (10) as above having all the claimed limitations except for the cutting edge (of the outer legs 15) being provided on a serrated support leg. Montesi shows a implement (10) comprising a support platform (14) and a serrated support leg 12, 16) having a cutting edge (28, 30, 40, 42) (Figures 1-
- 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Montesi to

Application/Control Number: 10/697,931

Art Unit: 3728

modify the implement of Fulton so the cutting edge is provided on a serrated leg to facilitate

cutting.

## Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 3 is allowed.

10. Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to 5/11/2006 have been considered but are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Page 5

Art Unit: 3728

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4552. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300 for Formal papers and After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lkb

May 25, 2006

Luan K. Bui

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3728